Albert G. Stoll, Jr. SBN 164649	
E-mail: astoll@stoll-law.com	
Jessica Juarez, SBN 269600	
Email: jessica@stoll-law.com	
ALBERT G. STOLL, JR. A LAW CORPORAT	ION
235 Montgomery Street, Ste 1220	
San Francisco, California 94133	
Phone: (415) 576-1500	
Fax: (415) 576-1501	
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster, Pamela	Foster,
Conservatee and Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster, Jr., an	nd Minor Natalie Foster
UNITED STATES D NORTHERN DISTRIC	
NORTHERN DISTRIC	CI OF CALIFORNIA
Nathaniel Foster, an Individual; Pamela Foster,	CASE NO. 3:19-cv-02530-JD
an Individual; Nathaniel Foster, Jr., by and	SECOND AMENDED Complaint for
through his Conservator Nathaniel Foster; and Natalie Foster, a Minor, by and through her	Damages
Guardian ad Litem, Pamela Foster,	
	1. Negligence, Negligence per Se (in
Plaintiffs	Violation of Air Carrier Access Act,
v.	49 U.S.C. §41705 et seq);
V.	2. Negligent Hiring, Supervision &
United Airlines Holdings, Inc., a Delaware	Retention
Corporation; United Airlines, Inc., a Delaware	3. Breach of Contract;
Corporation; ExpressJet Airlines LLC, Inc. dba	4. Negligent Misrepresentation;
United Express, a Foreign Profit Corporation;	5. Intentional Infliction of Emotional
DAL Global Services, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation	Distress.
Emined Emonity Corporation	DEMAND FOR HIDW TRIAL
Defendants.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster; Pamela Foster, an Ind	ividual; Nathaniel Foster, Jr. aka "NJ Foster",
by and through his father and Conservator Nathar	niel Foster; and Natalie Foster, a Minor, by and
through her mother and Guardian ad Litem, Pame	ela Foster (collectively referred to as
"Plaintiffs") hereby file this Complaint for Damaş	ges and Demand for Jury Trial against
Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. Ui	nited Airlines, Inc. et al. –

Defendants United Airlines Holdings, Inc., a Delaware Corporation; United Airlines, Inc., a Delaware Corporation; ExpressJet Airlines, LLC dba United Express, a Foreign Profit Corporation; and DAL Global Services, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation and allege as follows:

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

This action seeks damages on behalf of Plaintiff and Conservatee NJ Foster, a 22 year old disabled young man and his family, co-Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster, Pamela Foster and Natalie Foster. Plaintiff and Conservatee NJ Foster suffered catastrophic personal injury and has been in a coma following Defendants' actions and omissions in the deplaning process of UA Flight No. 4193 on February 8, 2019.

In sum, this action alleges that common carriers, Defendant United Airlines, Inc. and ExpressJet Airlines dba United Express failed to abide by the standard of care owed to disabled passengers by failing to have appropriately trained staff (and/or by ensuring that their contractor and/or subcontractors Defendant DAL Global Services, LLC are trained appropriately), necessary personnel and assistive devices necessary for the safe transport of disabled passengers.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- a. This court has federal diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. 1332, et seq. as the parties are completely diverse in citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
- b. Venue is proper in this district as all Plaintiffs are residents of Pleasant Hill, California whereas Defendant United Airlines Holdings, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters in Chicago, Illinois; United Airlines, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Chicago, Illinois. Defendant ExpressJet Airlines is a foreign profit corporation with headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia. Defendant DAL Global Services, LLC is a Limited Liability Delaware Corporation.

III. PARTIES

a. PLAINTIFFS

- i. **Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster** is the father and Conservator of Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster, Jr. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is the Order Appointing Temporary Conservator Status to Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster dated March 22, 2019. On February 8, 2019, the date of the incident of this lawsuit, Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster was traveling with his family and co-Plaintiffs: son, NJ; wife, Pamela, and daughter, Natalie Foster. Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster works in management for the U.S. Postal Service. At the time of the subject incident, Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster was living in Pleasant Hill, California in Contra Costa County with his family.
- ii. Plaintiff and Conservatee Nathaniel Foster, Jr. aka "NJ" (hereinafter "Plaintiff NJ Foster") is now a twenty-two (22) year old young man who at the time of the incident had full mental capacities, was verbal, ate solid food and attended college to fulfill his dream of becoming a physician. Plaintiff NJ Foster is also a qualified individual with a disability, as he was quadriplegic at the time of the incident, using a tracheal tube, ventilator and a power wheelchair following an unrelated incident which caused spinal cord injury (not subject to this suit) that occurred on August 31, 2016. Plaintiff NJ Foster's disability affects major life activities such as bathing, dressing, feeding, and attending school.
- iii. Following the subject incident of the instant lawsuit that occurred on February 8,2019 at the Monroe Regional Airport in Louisiana, Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Fosterhas been in a coma. At the time of the subject incident and presently, Plaintiff NJ

Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. – Northern District of California -- Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD Foster lives with his family at home in Pleasant Hill, California in Contra Costa County.

- iv. **Plaintiff Pamela Foster** is the mother of Plaintiff NJ Foster and was traveling with her immediate family and co-Plaintiffs at the time of the incident. Plaintiff Pamela Foster works as an Economist with the U.S. Department of Labor. Plaintiff Pamela Foster lives with her immediate family in Pleasant Hill, California in Contra Costa County.
- v. Plaintiff Pamela Foster serves as Guardian ad Litem for her minor daughter, Natalie Foster (now age 17). The Order appointing Pamela Foster as Guardian ad Litem is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.
- vi. **Plaintiff Natalie Foster** is a minor, now age 17 and the sister of Plaintiff

 Conservatee NJ Foster. Plaintiff Natalie Foster was traveling with her family at the

 time of the incident. Plaintiff Natalie Foster attends high school and lives at home

 with her immediate family in Pleasant Hill, California in Contra Costa County.

b. **DEFENDANTS**

- i. Defendant United Airlines, Inc.
- ii. On information and belief, **Defendant United Airlines Holdings, Inc.** is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters in Chicago, Illinois and is the owner and operator of United Airlines, Inc. United Airlines Holdings, Inc., was formerly named United Continental Holdings, Inc., and was so named at the time that the Plaintiffs filed their Complaint. According to the Illinois Secretary of State's

Corporate / LLC records, United Continental Holdings, Inc., changed its name to 2 United Airlines Holdings, Inc., on June 27, 2019. 3 1. United Airlines Holdings, Inc.'s, Securities and Exchange Commission Form 4 10-K provides that: 5 (together with its consolidated subsidiaries, "UAL" or the "Company")[it] is a 6 holding company and its principal, wholly-owned subsidiary is United Airlines, Inc. (together with its consolidated subsidiaries, "United"). As UAL consolidates 7 *United for financial statement purposes, disclosures that relate to activities of* United also apply to UAL, unless otherwise noted. United's operating revenues and 8 operating expenses comprise nearly 100% of UAL's revenues and operating expenses. 9 *UAL* was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on December 30, 10 1968. Effective June 27, 2019, UAL amended its Certificate of Incorporation to change its name to "United Airlines Holdings, Inc." 11 The principal executive office is located at 233 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, 12 Illinois 60606 (telephone number (872) 825-4000). 13 The Company transports people and cargo throughout North America and to 14 destinations in Asia, Europe, Africa, the Pacific, the Middle East and Latin America. UAL, through United and its regional carriers, operates more than 4,900 15 flights a day to 362 airports across six continents, with hubs at Newark Liberty International Airport ("Newark"), Chicago O'Hare International Airport ("Chicago 16 O'Hare"), Denver International Airport ("Denver"), George Bush Intercontinental Airport ("Houston Bush"), Los Angeles International Airport ("LAX"), A.B. Won 17 Pat International Airport ("Guam"), San Francisco International Airport ("SFO") and Washington Dulles International Airport ("Washington Dulles"). 18 All of the Company's domestic hubs are located in large business and population 19 centers, contributing to a large amount of "origin and destination" traffic. The hub and spoke system allows us to transport passengers between a large number of 20 destinations with substantially more frequent service than if each route were served 21 directly. The hub system also allows us to add service to a new destination from a large number of cities using only one or a limited number of aircraft. As discussed 22 under Alliances below, United is a member of Star Alliance, the world's largest alliance network. Regional. The Company has contractual relationships with 23 various regional carriers to provide regional aircraft service branded as United Express. 24 25 Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. -26

Northern District of California -- Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD

This regional service complements our operations by carrying traffic that connects to our hubs and allows flights to smaller cities that cannot be provided economically with mainline aircraft...

ExpressJet Airlines LLC ("ExpressJet") ... are all regional carriers that operate with capacity contracted to United under capacity purchase agreements ("CPAs"). Under these CPAs, the Company pays the regional carriers contractually agreed fees 4 (carrier costs) for operating these flights plus a variable rate adjustment based on agreed performance metrics, subject to annual adjustments. The fees are based on specific rates multiplied by specific operating statistics (e.g., block hours, departures), as well as fixed monthly amounts.

Under these CPAs, the Company is also responsible for all fuel costs incurred, as well as landing fees and other costs, which are either passed through by the regional carrier to the Company without any markup or directly incurred by the Company. In some cases, the Company owns some or all of the aircraft subject to the CPA and leases such aircraft to the regional carrier. In return, the regional carriers operate the capacity of the aircraft included within the scope of such CPA exclusively for United, on schedules determined by the Company. The Company also determines pricing and revenue management, assumes the inventory and distribution risk for the available seats and permits mileage accrual and redemption for regional flights through its MileagePlus loyalty program.

- 2. The registered agent for service of process is listed on the secretary of state of Delaware's website as The Corporation Trust Company with the address listed as: Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801. The file number is listed as 697326.
- Oscar Munoz, CEO of United Airlines, Inc. sits on the Board of Directors for United Airlines Holdings, Inc.
- Defendant United Airlines Holdings, Inc. and Defendant United Airlines,
 Inc., are alter egos of each other.
- 5. The Securities and Exchange Commission Form 10-K form in and of itself provides evidence that United Airlines Holdings, Inc. is the airline, despite the fact that it is not a Part 121 certified commercial air carrier.

Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. – Northern District of California -- Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD

1	
2	6. Upon information and belief, United Airlines Holdings, Inc. has:
2	(i) represented to third parties that it is liable for United Airlines,
3	Inc.'s debts;
4	(ii) United Airlines Holdings, Inc., appears to own 100 percent of
5	United Airlines, Inc.'s stock;
6	(iii) United Airlines Holdings, Inc., and United Airlines, Inc., appear
7	to use the same offices and the same employees;
8	(iv) United Airlines, Inc., is used as the mere shell or conduit for the
9	affairs of United Airlines Holdings, Inc.;
10	(v) United Airlines Holdings, Inc., engages in operational control of
12	United Airlines, Inc.; and
13	(vi) United Airlines Holdings, Inc., and United Airlines, Inc., have
14	
	identical directors and officers.
15	iii. On information and belief, Defendant United Airlines, Inc., is a commercial airline,
16	with its principal place of business in Chicago, Illinois.
17	1. Defendant United Airlines, Inc. is authorized to do business in California and
18	conducts substantial business in and out of the San Francisco International
19	Airport (SFO).
20	2. The Division of Corporations in Delaware lists the entity's address as 233
21	
22	South Wacker Drive, WHQCT – 14 th Floor, Chicago, IL. 60606.
23	
24	
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

- 3. The most recent Statement of Information dated June 13, 2018 for Defendant United Airlines, Inc. lists Oscar Munoz as the CEO, Jennifer L. Kraft as Secretary and Gerald Laderman as the company's CFO.
- 4. The California Corporate Number is listed as C1249108.
- 5. The Agent for Service of Process is listed as C T Corporation located at 111 Eighth Avenue, 13th Floor, New York, NY 10011.
- 6. Defendant United Airlines, Inc. is an air carrier holding a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Secretary of Transportation under 49 USC § 41102.
- 7. At all times herein mentioned, Defendant United Airlines, Inc., was and is a common carrier for hire engaged in the transportation of passengers in both domestic and international air travel.
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant United Airlines, Inc. owns, operates and maintains the aisle chair and jet bridge on which the subject incident occurred.
- 9. Said defendant owned, operated, controlled, serviced, maintained and flew, through its operators, partners, agents, subcontractors and employees acting in the course and scope of their employment, certain aircraft including the aircraft used for Flight Nos. 540 and 4193 on February 8, 2019, from San Francisco, California to Houston, Texas onto Monroe, Louisiana, respectively on which Plaintiffs flew.

- iv. **Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, LLC** dba **United Express** is a foreign, for profit corporation and commercial airline with a Control Number of 12001365 as listed by the Georgia Corporations Division. ExpressJet Airlines, LLC was formerly named ExpressJet Airlines, Inc., and was so named at the time that the Plaintiffs filed their Complaint. According to the Georgia Corporations Division, ExpressJet Airlines, Inc., changed its name to ExpressJet Airlines, LLC, on February 26, 2020.
 - 1. The office address listed on the Georgia Corporations Division is listed as 1745 Phoenix Blvd, Suite 500, #700, College Park, GA, 30349, USA.
 - The Registered Agent for Service of Process is listed as 289 S Culver Street,
 Lawrenceville, GA 30046-4805.
 - 3. Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, LLC is an air carrier holding a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Secretary of Transportation under 49 USC section 41102.
 - 4. On information and belief, Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, LLC dba United Express co-owns, operates and maintains the aisle chair and jet bridge on which the subject incident occurred.
 - 5. On information and belief, Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, LLC dba United Express owned, operated, controlled, serviced, maintained and flew, through its operators, partners, agents, subcontractors and employees acting in the course and scope of their employment, the aircraft used for Flight No. 4193 on February 8, 2019, from Houston, Texas onto Monroe, Louisiana, respectively.

24

26

v. **DEFENDANT**

- vi. **DAL Global Services, LLC** also known as "DGS" and/or "DAL" is a Limited Liability Delaware Corporation with its primary place of business in Georgia.
- vii. **According to its website,** DGS "provide[s] a full scope of aviation services with expertise in such diverse areas as ramp and passenger handling, cabin and cargo services, operations and load control, aircraft and ground support equipment maintenance, crew transportation, security services, wheelchair and skycap services, flight control and operations consulting for domestic and international carriers in the U.S."
 - On information and belief, Defendant DAL Global Services, LLC contracted with Defendant United Airlines, Inc. and Defendant ExpressJet, Inc. dba United Express.
 - Robert Brown, Jr., a former employee (Ramp Supervisor) of Defendant DAL Global Services, LLC was involved in the deplaning process of Plaintiff NJ Foster at the Monroe Regional Airport at the time of the incident.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as fully set forth herein.
- 2. On February 6, 2019, Plaintiffs experienced a death in their family. Plaintiff Pamela Foster's sister, Vickey Johnson passed away. Ms. Johnson was a resident of Bastrop, Louisiana.
- 3. The family decided to travel to Louisiana in order to attend the funeral.

Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. – Northern District of California -- Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD

https://www.dalgs.com/; (Last visited August 15, 2019)

1	4. On the same day, Plaintiff Pamela Foster called the Accessibility desk for Defendant United
2	Airlines, Inc. in order to make disability related flight arrangements for her son, Plaintiff NJ
3	Foster.
5	5. The Accessibility desk via an agent (name unknown, referred to hereinafter as "Agent #1")
6	directed Plaintiff Pamela Foster to first purchase airline tickets prior to calling the accessibility
7	desk.
8	6. Plaintiff Pamela Foster did as directed and purchased (with a United Chase Mileage Plus
9	Explorer credit card) four round-trip tickets for her family, departing from San Francisco
10	International Airport ("SFO"), connecting in Houston, Texas and going onto the final destination
11	of Monroe, Louisiana. The departure date was set for February 8, 2019.
12	7. Plaintiffs' itinerary was as follows: UA Flight No. 540: departing SFO at 7:15 a.m. to
13	Houston, Texas (IAH-BUSH INTL) with an arrival time of 1:02 p.m and UA Flight No. 4193:
14	departing Houston, Texas, at 2:20 p.m. and arriving in Monroe, Louisiana (MLU) at 3:29 p.m.
15	8. After purchasing her family's tickets, Plaintiff Pamela Foster then immediately called back
16	Defendant United Airlines' accessibility desk to make the necessary arrangements for her son, NJ
17	Foster.
18	9. During the call, Plaintiff Pamela Foster informed the operator (name unknown, referred to as
19 20	Agent #2) that her son, NJ required special assistance given his disability – he was a quadriplegic
21	individual, used a power wheelchair, tracheal tube, and ventilator.
22	10. Plaintiff Pamela Foster inquired about the size of the planes to fly from San Francisco to
23	Houston and from Houston to Monroe and requested assurances that the necessary assistance was
24	
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

. 11	
1	available in the embarking and disembarking process for her son, Plaintiff Conservatee NJ
2	Foster.
3	11. The operator, Agent #2, at Defendant United Airlines accessibility desk repeatedly
4	communicated to Plaintiff Pamela Foster not to worry and that the outbound plane from Houston,
5	Texas to Monroe, Louisiana was even larger than the plane from SFO to Houston and that all
6 7	staffing would be provided to embark and disembark her son.
8	12. Pamela Foster called a third time seeking further assurances. The operator at the accessibility
9	desk, Agent #3, repeatedly communicated to Plaintiff Pamela Foster that traveling with Plaintiff
10	NJ Foster was not a problem and that it was able to provide safe passage to Plaintiff NJ Foster.
11	In all, the three phone calls with the United Airlines Accessibility Desk agents (Agents #1-3), the
12	phone calls lasted thirty-seven (37) minutes.
13	13. On February 8, 2019, Plaintiffs arrived at the departure gate for UA Flight No. 540 at SFO.
14	14. In order to embark and disembark Plaintiff NJ Foster, it was necessary for him to be
15	transferred from his personal power wheelchair to a narrower "aisle chair" at the jetway.
16	15. Arriving at the departure gate at SFO, a single wheelchair assistant appeared from
17	PrimeFlight Aviation Services.
18	16. The assistant himself called additional staff to help transfer Plaintiff NJ Foster from his
19	personal power wheelchair to an aisle chair.
20	17. Approximately 4 attendants (Names Unknown) transferred Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster
21	out of his personal power wheelchair and placed him onto an aisle chair with leg, lap, and chest
22	straps, transporting him onto the plane without incident.
23 24	
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

1	18. Plaintiffs departed SFO at or about 7:15 a.m. flying to Houston, Texas on a Boeing 737-800
2	airplane. Plaintiffs arrived in Houston, Texas at approximately 1:02 p.m.
3	19. Upon arrival to Houston, four or more attendants (Names Unknown) provided deplaning
4	assistance to Plaintiff NJ Foster.
5	20. After making the transfer onto another plane, Plaintiffs boarded UA Flight No. 4193 to
7	Monroe, Louisiana.
8	21. Plaintiff NJ Foster boarded the ERJ-145 airplane with the assistance of approximately 4 or
9	more attendants (Names Unknown) who again used the leg, lap and chest straps on the aisle chair
10	provided.
11	22. UA Flight No. 4193 was operated by Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, LLC dba United
12	Express.
13	23. Upon arrival at the Monroe Regional Airport on or about 3:29 p.m., the female steward
14	(Rachel White) inside the Express Jet, Inc. dba United Express plane communicated to Plaintiffs
15	to remain in their seats until the entirety of the plane disembarked in order to receive
16	disembarking assistance for Plaintiff NJ Foster.
17	24. Plaintiffs waited approximately twenty (20) minutes in their seats and observed that the
18	Captain of the flight (Joao Fernandes) disembarked from the plane as well. First Officer,
19	Christina Nikolov (employee of ExpressJet Airlines, LLC) was also on board the plane.
20	25. Once all passengers and the Captain had deplaned, the ExpressJet, Inc. flight attendant
21	(Rachel White) called for assistance. Linda Daniels, a second flight attendant was also on board
22 23	the plane.
24	
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

. 11	
1	26. Plaintiffs observed that a sole, African American, heavy-set woman (Charlotte Gibson,
2	Supervisor for Defendant DAL Global Services LLC), arrived with an aisle chair. Charlotte
3	Gibson identified herself as a "Supervisor" to Plaintiffs.
4	27. Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster and Pamela Foster communicated to Charlotte Gibson that their
5	son is quadriplegic and needed the assistance of additional personnel to transport him off of the
7	plane. Plaintiffs communicated that typically four (4) to six (6) individuals had been used in the
8	deplaning process for their son on prior flights.
9	28. Charlotte Gibson appeared to get upset and communicated to Plaintiffs that she knew how to
10	transport disabled passengers, stating, "I know what I'm doing" and reluctantly called for an
11	additional employee from inside the Monroe Regional Airport for assistance.
12	29. A second, African American young woman (Mignon Jackson, Customer Service Agent for
13	DAL Global Services LLC), approximately 115 pounds came to assist with Plaintiff NJ Foster's
14	deplaning process.
15	30. Again, Plaintiffs Nathaniel and Pamela Foster communicated their request for additional
16	personnel.
17	31. Supervisor Charlotte Gibson became even more visibly upset and communicated "Fine. Do
18	it yourself, thenI'm out."
19	32. Mignon Jackson remained in the plane with Plaintiffs, holding onto Plaintiff NJ's ventilator.
20	33. As Charlotte Gibson was leaving the airplane, she verbally called out to an African
21	American man, Robert Brown, Jr., Ramp Supervisor for Defendant DAL Global Services, LLC.
22	34. Robert Brown, Jr. boarded the plane and moved Plaintiff NJ from his seat onto the aisle
24	chair.
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

1	35. Robert Brown, Jr. secured Plaintiff NJ to the aisle chair with the use of the sole restraint, a
2	cross chest strap, that made an "x" formation.
3	36. On information and belief, the aisle chair used in the deplaning process was not in
4	accordance with the provisions set forth in the Air Carrier Access Act, 14 C.F.R. §382 et seq.
5	37. No further assistance was forthcoming.
7	38. One of two flight attendants on the airplane, Rachel White, ExpressJet Airlines, LLC
8	employee, did not offer to assist; nor did she assist Plaintiff NJ Foster in disembarking from the
9	plane.
10	39. Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster repeatedly urged Robert Brown, Jr. to go slow and be careful with
11	his son.
12	40. Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster walked in front of Plaintiff NJ's aisle chair, facing his son in the
13	deplaning process.
14	41. Robert Brown, Jr. pushed the aisle chair occupied by Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster.
15	42. Mignon Jackson carried Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster's ventilator.
16	43. Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster's feet were dragging on the airplane's flooring as he was
17	wheeled through and out of the airplane.
18	44. Robert Brown, Jr. aggressively pushed the aisle chair through the singular aisle of the small
19	plane, causing Plaintiff NJ Foster to sway and slip over the right side of the aisle chair on two
20	separate occasions prior to reaching the plane's threshold.
21 22	45. On the first occasion, Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster prominently leaned to the right side,
23	and was propped up by his father, Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster.
24	
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

1	46. On the second occasion, Plaintiff NJ again leaned to the right side, but was caught by an
2	interior wall of the plane that propped him up upon exiting from the plane.
3	47. Upon reaching the plane's threshold, Robert Brown, Jr. pushed the aisle chair forcefully and
4	caused the aisle chair to move forward violently and then fall back.
5	48. Plaintiff NJ Foster's body jerked forward and back in response and slouched down into the
7	seat.
8	49. Plaintiff Pamela Foster asked her son if he was okay and heard her son whisper, " <i>I can't</i>
9	breathe."
10	50. Plaintiff Pamela Foster immediately began yelling for assistance.
11	51. Dr. Edgar Leon Feinberg, a thoracic and cardiac surgeon, meanwhile was waiting inside the
12	terminal at Gate 6 to board the plane for his own flight.
13	52. Upon hearing Plaintiff Pamela Foster scream loudly for help from the jet bridge below, Dr.
14	Edgar Leon Feinberg identified himself as a doctor and offered assistance to the United Airlines
15	agent at Gate 6 (Name Unknown, referred to as Agent #4).
16	53. In response, Agent #4 audibly "giggled" and communicated to Dr. Feinberg that no help was
17	needed, that he could take his seat because "we got this."
18	54. Dr. Edgar Leon Feinberg went back to his seat and sat down as directed.
19	55. Meanwhile, Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster looked to his son and immediately noticed that his son
20	looked wide-eyed, fearful, and that his lips were turning a deep purple color.
21	56. NJ Foster was removed from the wheelchair and laid onto the floor of the jet bridge,
22 23	attaching the plane to the airport terminal.
24	57. Gate Agent Mignon Jackson remained holding Plaintiff's ventilator at all times.
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

1	58. Plaintiff NJ Foster went into cardiac arrest.
2	59. Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster provided CPR to his son.
3	60. Plaintiff Pamela Foster provided assistance to her son with an Artificial Manual Breathing
4	Unit (also known as an "AMBU" or "AMBU bag").
5	61. Plaintiff Natalie Foster stood nearby watching her parents attend to her brother, NJ.
7	62. A police officer, "Private Blue" arrived and took over chest compressions from father,
8	Nathaniel Foster.
9	63. Approximately three (3) to five (5) minutes later, Dr. Edgar Leon Feinberg was called down
10	to the jetway.
11	64. Upon arrival at Plaintiff NJ Foster's side, Dr. Feinberg observed that Plaintiff NJ Foster did
12	not have a pulse and began coaching CPR.
13	65. The fire department arrived at the scene on or about 4:27 p.m. and assumed chest
14	compressions.
15	66. According to the Fire Department's report, its personnel checked Plaintiff NJ Foster's
16	airway and found that his tracheal tube was not in place, which was also confirmed by an
17	additional medic.
18	67. Paramedics from Acadian Ambulance Services arrived, took over the CPR and AMBU bag
19	functions and transported Plaintiff NJ Foster (with Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster) to Saint Francis
20	Medical Center in Monroe, Louisiana via ambulance.
21	68. Plaintiffs Pamela and Natalie Foster were driven to the hospital in a police car.
23	69. Plaintiff NJ Foster was admitted into the Intensive Critical Care Unit of St. Francis Medical
24	Center in Monroe, Louisiana.
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

,	70. Upon arrival, NJ Foster was in a coma.
,	71. On or about February 19, 2019, Plaintiff NJ Foster was transported from St. Francis Medical
C	enter in Monroe, Louisiana, to Kaiser Hospital, Walnut Creek, California, via air ambulance.
,	72. Plaintiff NJ Foster has suffered a significant hypoxic brain injury, and has been given a very
p	oor prognosis by his physicians at Kaiser Hospital, Walnut Creek.
,	73. Plaintiff NJ Foster remains in a coma through the date of the filing of this amended
c	omplaint.
,	74. As a result of the acts and omissions by Defendants and each of them, Plaintiff NJ Foster has
b	een seriously and grievously injured and damaged.
,	75. Plaintiffs Nathaniel Jr., Nathaniel, Pamela and Natalie Foster suffer and continue to suffer
fi	rom severe emotional pain and distress.
Ι	I. CAUSES OF ACTION
	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
	Negligence and Negligence Per Se
	(in Violation of Air Carrier Access Act, 49 U.S.C. §41705)
	(All Plaintiffs against All Defendants and Does 1-50)
,	76. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as fully set forth
h	erein.
,	77. On or about February 6, 2019, Defendants United Airlines Holdings, Inc., United Airlines,
Iı	nc. and ExpressJet Airlines, LLC, agreed to safely carry Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster from
S	an Francisco to his final destination of Monroe, Louisiana.
,	78. At all times herein, Defendants United Airlines, Inc., and ExpressJet Airlines, LLC were
c	ommon carriers of persons for hire and as such required to use the utmost care and diligence for
	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

. 11	
1	safe carriage of passengers, such as Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster, and must exercise a
2	heightened degree of skill to provide everything necessary for that purpose.
3	79. Defendant DAL Global Services, LLC is a contractor or subcontractor of Defendants United
4	Airlines, Inc. and ExpressJet Airlines, LLC.
5	80. By virtue of Defendants' negligence, the actions and omissions as alleged above on the part
	of said Defendants, and each of them, is a breach of the terms, both explicit and implied, of the
6	contract created by selling airplane tickets to Plaintiffs, as well as a breach of Defendants'
7	obligations as common carriers and air carriers.
8	81. Plaintiffs had fulfilled all obligations on their part for the contract of safe carriage.
9	82. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' breach as set out above, Plaintiffs were
	damaged as alleged herein.
10	83. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege, that all Defendants, their agents
11	and/or employees, acting in the course and scope of their employment were negligently
12	responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiffs' damages as
13	herein alleged were legally caused by their conduct.
14	84. At all times herein mentioned, each and every one of the Defendants herein was the agent,
15	servant and employee, each of the other, and each was acting within the course and scope of
16	his/her agency, service and employment with the permission, consent and ratification, each of the
17	other.
18	85. At all times mentioned, Defendants undertook a duty to accommodate Plaintiff NJ Foster in
19	compliance with anti-discrimination provisions for disabled passengers under federal statutes and
20	regulations.
21	86. The Department of Transportation (DOT) has promulgated regulations, codified at 14 C.F.R.
22	§ 382, specifying detailed requirements that airlines must meet to comply with the Air Carrier
23	Access Act (ACAA), 49 U.S.C. § 41705 et seq.
24	
25	
a.	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

1	87. Air carriers and common carriers such as Defendants United Airlines, Inc., and ExpressJet
2	Airlines, LLC are required to exercise the highest degree of care and diligence for the safe
3	carriage and passage of their ticketed passengers and are required to comply with the provisions
5	of the ACAA in order to avoid injury to its disabled passengers requesting assistance in the
6	onboarding and disembarking processes.
7	88. 14 C.F.R. §382.95 provides in relevant part,
8 9 10	As a carrier, you must promptly provide or ensure the provision of assistance requested by or on behalf of passengers with a disability, or offered by carrier or airport operator personnel and accepted by passengers with a disability, in enplaning and deplaning. This assistance must include, as needed, the services of personnel and the use of ground wheelchairs, accessible motorized carts, boarding wheelchairs, and/or on-board wheelchairs
11	where provided in accordance with this part, and ramps or mechanical lifts.
12	89. 14 C.F.R. § 382 was intended to prohibit air carriers from discriminating against passengers
13	on the basis of disability; requiring carriers to make aircraft, other facilities, and services
14	accessible to disabled passengers; and requires carriers to take steps to accommodate passengers
15	with disabilities. 14 C.F.R. § 382.1.
16	90. Subpart G of the DOT regulations provides that carriers shall ensure that individuals with
17	disabilities are to be provided with assistance in enplaning, deplaning, and in making flight
18	connections and transportation between gates.
19	91. Subpart J of the DOT regulations requires training for personnel involved in providing
20	boarding and deplaning assistance. Specifically found within the ACAA are specific
22	requirements regarding the training carriers must provide for personnel involved in providing
23	boarding and deplaning assistance:
24	i. 14 C.F.R. § 382.141(a)(1)(iii) (requiring training to proficiency concerning use of
25	boarding and deplaning assistance equipment and procedures);
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

1	ii.	id. § 382.141(a)(5) (requiring carriers to develop a program to provide refresher training
2		as needed to maintain proficiency);
3	iii.	id. § 382.143 (detailing requirements for when training must occur);
4	iv.	id. § 382.145 (requiring carriers to retain records regarding initial and refresher training
5		for employees);
	V.	id. § 382.141(a)(i)(iii) (requiring training to proficiency concerning use of boarding and
6		deplaning assistance equipment and procedures that safeguard the safety and dignity of
7		passengers);
8	vi.	id. § 382.141 (a)(1)-(6) (Carriers must develop a program to provide training and
9		refreshing training to maintain proficiency, and provide or ensure that its contractors
		provide training to the contractors' employees concerning travel by passengers with a
10		disability.)
11	vii.	id. § 382.15 (Carrier must make sure that their contractors provide services to the public
12		that meet the requirements of this part that would apply to you if you provided the services
13		yourselfcarriers must include an assurance of compliance in contracts with
14		contractorsCarriers remain responsible for your contractors' compliance with this part
		and for enforcing assurances in your contracts with them.)
15	92.	As provided above, Plaintiff Pamela Foster requested that Defendant United Airlines, Inc.,
16	prov	de her son with embarking and disembarking assistance on February 6, 2019.
17	93.	On the same day, Defendant United Airlines, Inc., agreed to provide transport and the
18	nece	ssary disability assistance to NJ Foster, causing Plaintiffs to purchase airline tickets for safe
19	passa	ige.
20	94	On February 8, 2019 the family flew from SFO to Monroe Louisiana via Houston.
21		
22	95.	On February 8, 2019 Plaintiff NJ Foster, a quadriplegic individual, used a tracheal tube and
23	venti	lator and thereby, was in the class of persons intended to be protected by the ACAA.
24		
25		
		Nathaniel Foster et al. v. United Airlines. Inc. et al. —

96.	Defendant United Airlines, Inc. and Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, LLC dba United Express
are	both carriers, and agreed to provide the requested assistance for Plaintiff Conservatee NJ
Fos	ter.
97.	Defendant United Airlines, Inc. and Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, LLC breached their duty
of c	are owed to Plaintiff NJ by violating the requirements of the ACAA as follows:
a.	by failing to ensure that the request for disability assistance is recorded and properly
	transmitted to the personnel responsible for providing the accommodation, <i>id.</i> at §382.81,
b.	§382.141; by failing to properly train their employees regarding safe deplaning procedures for Plaintiff
	NJ Foster, a disabled passenger, id.;
c.	by failing to properly train their contractors regarding safe deplaning procedures for
	Plaintiff NJ Foster, a disabled passenger, id.;
d.	by failing to provide safe deplaning assistance as requested, 14 C.F.R. § 382.95;
e.	by failing to provide the personnel necessary for the safe deplaning process of Plaintiff NJ
	Foster, id.;
f.	by failing to adhere to safety measures, protocols for the safe deplaning process for Plaintiff
	Conservatee NJ Foster;
g.	by failing to use the appropriate devices for providing assistance in the deplaning of
	Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster, a disabled passenger, 14 C.F.R § 382.95;
h.	
i.	382.65; by failing to provide compliant seating accommodations to Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster,
	14 C.F.R § 382.81; and
	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

1	j.	by having an agent abandon Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster when Plaintiffs Nathaniel and
2		Pamela Foster merely asked for additional personnel in the deplaning process of their son;
3	k.	by evidencing reprehensible conduct such as the "giggling" in response to a good Samaritan
4		(thoracic and cardiac surgeon) coming forward to offer medical attention upon hearing a
5		mother's desperate cry for help;
7	1.	by failing to permit a Good Samaritan physician to provide needed medical attention to
8		Plaintiff NJ Foster upon hearing cries for help.
9	98.	Defendant DAL Global Services, LLC breached its duty of care owed to Plaintiff NJ under
10	the	common law and under the ACAA as follows:
11	a.	by failing to ensure that the request for disability assistance is recorded and properly
12		transmitted to the personnel responsible for providing the accommodation, id. at §382.81,
		§382.141;
13	b.	by failing to properly train their employees regarding safe deplaning procedures for Plaintiff
14		NJ Foster, a disabled passenger, id.;
15	c.	by failing to properly train their contractors regarding safe deplaning procedures for
16 17		Plaintiff NJ Foster, a disabled passenger, id.;
18	d.	by failing to provide safe deplaning assistance as requested, 14 C.F.R. § 382.95;
19	e.	by failing to provide the personnel necessary for the safe deplaning process of Plaintiff NJ
20		Foster, id.;
21	f.	by failing to adhere to safety measures, protocols for the safe deplaning process for Plaintiff
22		Conservatee NJ Foster;
23	g.	by failing to use the appropriate devices for providing assistance in the deplaning of
24		Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster, a disabled passenger, 14 C.F.R § 382.95;
25		
26		Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. – Northern District of California Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD

1	h. by failing to properly maintain and/or provide ACAA compliant aisle chairs, 14 C.F.R. §		
2	382.65;		
3	i. by failing to provide compliant seating accommodations to Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster,		
4	14 C.F.R § 382.81; and		
5	j. by having an agent abandon Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster when Plaintiffs Nathaniel and		
6	Pamela Foster merely asked for additional personnel in the deplaning process of their son;		
7	k. by evidencing reprehensible conduct such as the "giggling" in response to a good Samaritan		
8	(thoracic and cardiac surgeon) coming forward to offer medical attention upon hearing a		
9	mother's desperate cry for help;		
10	l. by failing to permit a Good Samaritan physician to provide needed medical attention to		
11	Plaintiff NJ Foster upon hearing cries for help.		
12	99. Defendants' acts and omissions as described above were a substantial factor in bringing		
13	about the harm to Plaintiff NJ Foster and his family, co-Plaintiffs and proximately caused injury		
14	to Plaintiffs.		
15	100. The injuries suffered by Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster were the kind of occurrences		
16	that the Air Carrier Access Act was designed to prevent.		
17	101. As a direct and proximate result of all Defendants' failure to follow federal Statutes and		
18	Regulations and instead, in acting recklessly, maliciously, lacking even slight care and diligence,		
19	and exhibiting utter disregard for the dictates of prudence, amounting to complete neglect of the		
20	rights of others and the rights of disabled passengers, Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster suffered		
22	life altering catastrophic injury.		
23	102. As proximate result thereof, Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer personal		
24	injury.		
25			
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –		
0.8%	11 Comment of the com		

1	103. Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster, Pamela Foster and Natalie Foster have suffered and continue
2	to suffer severe emotional injury as a result of the trauma of seeing their family member injured
3	upon deplaning, turning purple, flat-lining, being given CPR and continuing on in comatose state.
5	104. Since the date of the incident, Plaintiff NJ Foster has sustained significant personal
6	injuries, including but not limited to being in a coma, suffering permanent brain damage,
7	suffering severe mental and emotional injuries, and other injuries presently undiagnosed.
8	105. Plaintiff NJ Foster has had and in the future will have pain, suffering, worry and anxiety,
9	all to Plaintiff Conservatee's general damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
10	106. As a proximate result thereof, Plaintiff NJ Foster incurred, and in the future, will incur
11	medical and related expenses all to Plaintiff's damage in such amount as will be proven at trial.
12	107. As a proximate result thereof, Plaintiff NJ Foster has lost the ability to talk, to eat as
13	before (without a feeding tube), to participate in family life, to have a social and romantic life, to
14	attend school and fulfill his dream of becoming a physician.
15	108. Plaintiff NJ Foster has and will have lost earning capacity all to Plaintiff's damage in
16	such amount as will be proven at trial.
17	109. Plaintiffs Nathaniel and Pamela Foster have been unable to follow their regular
18	employment schedules and have incurred expenses for their son's needed medical care, treatment
19	and related costs and expenses. Plaintiffs' damages in this respect are presently unascertained and
20	are continuing.
22	110. As a further proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendants and each of them,
23	Plaintiffs have sustained substantial economic and non-economic damages, in amounts according
24	to proof at trial.
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. – Northern District of California Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-ID

11	1. Defendants' acts and omissions as set forth in this complaint were oppressive and
mal	icious and a knowing and reckless breach of Defendants' statutory duty.
112	2. Defendants' acts and omissions were willful and with conscious disregard of Plaintiff
Con	servatee NJ Foster's rights and safety and in that they subjected him to cruel and unjust
harc	Iship in conscious disregard of his rights and safety.
11.	3. The totality of Defendants' conduct, actions and omissions, in the deplaning of Plaintiff
NJ]	Foster was so egregious and such a <i>gross</i> deviation the applicable standard of care that malice
may	be implied to justify a significant award of punitive damages.
114	4. Alternatively, or additionally, the totality of Defendants' conduct demonstrated
alto	gether an entire want of care raising the presumption of a conscious indifference and reckless
disr	egard to the consequences sufficient to justify a significant award of punitive damages.
11:	5. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount to be
dete	ermined at trial for the extreme recklessness, willful and conscious disregard of the rights and
safe	ty of Plaintiffs as exhibited by Defendants' acts and omission.
110	6. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter provided.
	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
	Negligent Hiring, Supervision or Retention of Employee (All Plaintiffs against All Defendants and Does 1-50)
11'	7. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as fully set forth
here	
118	
indi	vidual agents, supervisors and personnel (including but not limited to: Joao Fernandes,
Chr	istina Nikolov, Rachel White, Linda Daniels, Charlotte Gibson, Mignon Jackson, Robert
	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. – Northern District of California Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD

1	Brown,	Jr.), and that Defendants United Airlines Holding, Inc., United Airlines, Inc., ExpressJet	
2	Airlines, LLC, and DAL Global Services, LLC are responsible for the harms as alleged above,		
3	because they each negligently hired, supervised and/or retained the employees whose actions or		
4 5	omissions caused Plaintiffs' harms.		
6	119.	Defendants hired each of the employees identified above.	
7	120.	Each employee was unfit or incompetent to perform the work for which each was hired.	
8	121.	Defendants at all times relevant herein, knew or should have known that each employee	
9	was unfit or incompetent or created a particular risk to all passengers.		
10	122.	The employees' unfitness or incompetence harmed each plaintiff as alleged above.	
11	123.	Defendants' negligence in hiring, supervising, retaining the individuals as described	
12	above was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiffs' harm.		
13	124.	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter provided.	
14		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
15		Breach of Contract (All Plaintiffs against All Defendants and Does 1-50)	
16 17	125.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as fully set forth	
18	herein.		
19	126.	On February 6, 2019, Plaintiffs purchased airline tickets from Defendant United Airlines,	
20	Inc. thereby entering into a contract with Defendant United Airlines, Inc. and Defendant United		
21	Airlines	s Holdings, Inc.	
22	127.	Defendants United Airlines, Inc. and Defendant United Airlines Holdings, Inc. is bound	
23	to a con	tract with Plaintiffs, in part, evidenced by Defendant United Airlines' Contract of	
24	Carriag	e.	
25			
26		Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –	

1.1	
1	128. In relevant part, the Contract of Carriage provides that it:
2	constitute[s] the conditions of carriage upon which UA agrees to provide Domestic Carriage
3	and are expressly agreed to by the Passengerand [t]he rules herein are applicable to transportation of Passengersprovided by UAUA's obligations hereunder extend only to the
4	Ticketed Passenger.
5	129. The contract specifically provides in "Rule 14 Special Services" as follows:
6	A. Definition of Non-Ambulatory under this Rule: 1. Persons who are unable to move themselves
7	or need the support of another person to walk or move, but who are otherwise capable of caring for themselves without assistance throughout the flight are considered Non-Ambulatory
8	B. Qualifications for Acceptance of Non-Ambulatory Passengers - Non-Ambulatory Passengers
9	are accepted when accompanied by an assistant able to assist the Non-Ambulatory Passenger to evacuate the aircraft in accordance with 14 CFR Part 382.29. See Rule 21.
10	C. Qualified Individual with a Disability - UA requires a Passenger, including a Qualified
11	Individual with a Disability, to provide up to 48 hours' advance notice and check-in one hour before the check-in time for the general public for Domestic U.S. flights and for International
12	flights as set forth in Rules 5 D) and 5 E) if such Passenger wishes to receive any of the following service accommodations: 1. Transportation of an electric wheelchair on an aircraft with fewer
13	than 60 seats
14	D. When Travel Assistance is Required: 1. If UA determines that an assistant is essential for
15	safety, UA may require that a Passenger, including a Qualified Individual with a Disability, meeting any of the following criteria travel with an assistant as a condition of being provided
16	air transportation: b. A person with a mobility impairment so severe that the person is unable to physically assist in his or her evacuation of the aircraft;
17	130. The contract specifically provides in "Rule 18 Service Provided by United Express and
18	Other Codeshare Partners" as follows:
19	UA has arrangements with certain other carriers to enable UA to provide Codeshare services to
20	Passengers on flights operated by these carriers. Transportation provided by UA under a Codeshare arrangement with these carriers is designated by a flight number that includes UA's
21	two-letter airline designator code, "UA"
22	For Codeshare services on flights operated by another carrier, UA is responsible for the entirety
23	of the Codeshare journey for all obligations to Passengers established in these rules. The rules contained herein with respect to ticketing will apply to UA Codeshare services on flights
24	operated by partner airlines
25	
26	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

131.	Plaintiffs were ticketed passengers as provided in the Contract of Carriage and had at all	
times fulfilled all obligations on their part for the contract of safe carriage.		
132.	Defendants, however, failed to provide safe carriage, causing significant harm to each	
Plaintif	ff.	
133.	The activities alleged above on the part of said Defendants, and each of them, is a	
breach	of the terms, both explicit and implied, of the contract created by Defendant United	
Airline	s, Inc. selling a ticket to each Plaintiff as well as a breach of Defendant ExpressJet's	
obligat	ions as a common carrier to provide safe passage.	
134.	As a direct and proximate result of defendants' breach as set out above, Plaintiffs were	
damage	ed as alleged herein, in an amount subject to proof at trial.	
135.	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter provided.	
	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
(Al	Negligent Misrepresentation Plaintiffs against Defendants United Airlines, Inc. and United Airlines Holdings, Inc.)	
136.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as fully set forth	
erein.		
137.	Defendant United Airlines, Inc., via its agent(s) (name(s) unknown, Agent #2) at its	
Access	ibility Desk, on February 6, 2019, misrepresented to Plaintiff Pamela Foster that her son,	
Plaintii	ff-Conservatee NJ Foster, a disabled individual, could be accommodated and provided	
with th	e necessary disability related assistance as requested on the family's trip from SFO to	
Monro	e, Louisiana via Houston.	
138.	Defendant United Airlines, Inc.'s representations were untrue as Plaintiff NJ Foster did	
not rec	eive the necessary deplaning assistance at the Monroe Regional Airport.	
	Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. – Northern District of California Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530- ID	

1	139.	Defendant United Airlines, Inc., made the misrepresentation of the material fact without	
2	reasona	ble grounds for believing the representation was true when the representations were	
3	made.		
4	140.	Defendant United Airlines, Inc., intended that Plaintiffs rely on this representation.	
5	141.	Plaintiffs reasonably and justifiably relied on Defendant United Airlines, Inc.'s	
7	representations and were unaware of the falsity of the representations made by Defendant's		
8	agent.		
9	142.	As a result of the reliance upon the agent's representations, Plaintiffs were each harmed.	
10	As prov	vided above, Plaintiff NJ Foster suffered catastrophic injury and Plaintiffs Nathaniel,	
11	Pamela	and Natalie Foster also suffered and continue to suffer severe emotional injury; and	
12	143.	Plaintiffs' reliance on Defendant United Airlines, Inc.'s representations were a	
13	substan	tial factor in causing their harm.	
14	144.	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter provided.	
15		FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
16		Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (All Plaintiffs against All Defendants and Does 1-50)	
17 18	145.	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as fully set forth	
19	herein.		
20	146.	Defendants at all times knew that Plaintiff Conservatee NJ Foster was a disabled,	
21	quadrip	elegic individual, with a trach tube, ventilator and power wheelchair;	
22	147.	Defendants exhibited extreme and outrageous conduct in the following acts and	
23	omissic	ons:	
24	i. f	ailing to properly maintain jet bridges (connecting the airport's terminal with the plane);	
25			
26		Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –	

. 11	ı	
1	ii.	failing to provide compliant aisle chairs;
2	iii.	failing to properly maintain aisle chairs for use in the deplaning process;
3	iv.	negligently hiring and supervising agents, employees, subcontractors and/or operators;
4	v.	failing to provide the sufficient training for its agents, employees, subcontractors and/or
5		operators;
	vi.	failing to provide an appropriate number of trained personnel for deplaning Plaintiff
6		Conservatee NJ Foster upon arrival at the Monroe Regional Airport;
7	vii.	failing to provide safe deplaning assistance for Plaintiff NJ Foster, a quadriplegic young
8		man with a tracheal tube and ventilator after agreeing to provide safe passage for him;
9	viii.	failing to exercise care in preventing a catastrophic personal injury to Plaintiff Conservatee
		NJ Foster;
10	ix.	failing to have and/or implement adequate deplaning policies and procedures;
11	X.	failing to adequately protect Plaintiff NJ Foster, a disabled passenger;
12	xi.	failing to act reasonably under the circumstances to avoid an unreasonable risk of harm to
13		Plaintiff NJ Foster; and
	xii.	for first denying and then, delaying the voluntary assistance of a good Samaritan (a
14		thoracic and cardiac surgeon no less) from providing medical assistance to Plaintiff
15		Conservatee NJ Foster at a critical time.
16	148.	As provided above, Defendants' acts and omissions evidence shocking, outrageous
17	condu	act that is so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be
18	regard	ded as atrocious and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
19	149.	As a result of the aforementioned acts, Defendants caused Plaintiffs to suffer severe
20	emoti	onal distress in the form of severe shock, worry, distress, fright, anxiety, depression, weight
21	loss, v	weight gain and angst.
22	150.	Defendants' conduct by their acts and omissions was a substantial factor in causing
23	Plaint	tiffs' severe emotional distress.
24		
25		
26		Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

151. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request relief as hereinafter provided. 2 3 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 1. Wherefore Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendant as follows: 5 a. For a money judgment representing general and compensatory damages including necessary and reasonable medical expenses and reasonably anticipated future medical 6 expenses; 7 b. For a money judgment representing past and future impairment of ability to enjoy life; 8 c. For a money judgment representing loss of future earnings, retirement benefits and other 9 employee benefits, and all other sums of money, together with interest on these amounts, according to proof at trial; 10 d. For a money judgment for mental anguish, pain and suffering (past, present and for that 11 mental anguish, pain and suffering reasonably likely to occur in the future) according to 12 proof at trial; 13 e. For punitive / exemplary damages in an amount appropriate to punish the individual 14 Defendants for their willful, callous, reckless, wrongful and malicious conduct and effectively deter Defendants from engaging in similar conduct and to set an example for 15 other common carriers in the provision of assistance to disabled passengers asking for 16 assistance; 17 f. For general and special damages according to proof; 18 g. For prejudgment and post-judgment interest; h. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; 19 i. For injunctive relief to ensure that all passengers with disabilities seeking assistance in the 20 embarking and disembarking process are provided the necessary assistance and are 21 provided with equal access and dignity as the law requires; and 22 For any other relief that the Court deems just and proper. 23 24 25 Nathaniel Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. -26 Northern District of California -- Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD

Case 3:19-cv-02530-JD Document 74 Filed 08/25/20 Page 33 of 33

	l .	TI T
1	Dated: August 25, 2020	Albert Stoll Jr., A Law Corporation
2		By:
3		Albert G. Stoll, Jr.
4		Jessica Juarez. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster, Pamela
5		Foster, Conservatee and Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster, Jr., and Minor Natalie Foster
6		WAR 174401 1 (WWW.10 1 0000)
7	DEMAND	EOD HIDV TOLLI
8	DEMAND	FOR JURY TRIAL
9	Dated: August 25, 2020	Albert Stoll Jr., A Law Corporation
10		By: /S/ Albert G. Stoll, Jr.
11		Jessica Juarez
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster, Pamela Foster, Conservatee and Plaintiff Nathaniel Foster, Jr., and Minor Natalie Foster
13		and Minor Natane Poster
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	 Nathanie	l Foster, et al. v. United Airlines, Inc. et al. –

Northern District of California -- Court Case No. 3:19-cv-02530-JD

EXHIBIT 1

[A7	TORNEY OR PARTY MUTURUT ATTORNEY W.	GC GC	-1
A	TORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): - Trisha D. Friedberg/ Melanie J. Emmons 243737 / 284400	FOR GOURTUSE ONLY	
	Kato, Feder & Suzuki, LLP		
	235 Montgomery Street, Suite 1220	2010 HAR 27 A 9:09	
	San Francisco, CA 94104	7019 MAR 27 A 4 0 1	
	LEPHONE NO.: (415) 974-5715 FAX NO.(Optional): (415) 974-6199	WENTER COURT	
E-1	WALL ADDRESS (Optional):tfriedeberg@kfslaw.net / memmons@kfslaw.net	CLET OF THE TOTAL OF	
	TORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioners UPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Contra Costa	1 / II / WCW	
1	STREET ADDRESS: 725 Court Street	The state of the s	
	MAILING ADDRESS:	1 1 0	
ľ	CITY AND ZIP CODE: Martinez, CA 94553		
	BRANCH NAME:		
	MPORARY CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE X PERSON X ESTATE OF	- V	
(Na	ame): Nathaniel Foster Jr.		
_	CONSERVATEE		
	ORDER APPOINTING TEMPORARY CONSERVATOR	CASE-NUMBER:	
_		P19-00335	
	WARNING: THIS APPOINTMENT IS NOT EFFECTIVE UNT	IL LETTERS HAVE ISSUED	
1.	The petition for appointment of a temporary conservator came on for hearing as follows:		_
	presence):	to (ellegit personal	
	a. Judicial officer (name): Honorable John H. Sugiyama		
	b. Hearing date: 3/22/2019 Time: 9:00 AM X Dept.: Proba	te X Room: 14	
	c. X Petitioner (name): Nathaniel Foster		
	d. X Attorney for petitioner <i>(name):</i> Melanie J. Emmons e. Conservatee <i>(name):</i>		
	e. Conservatee (name): f. Attorney for conservatee (name):		
	g. Conservatee's spouse or registered domestic partner, and relatives (names a	and relationships).	
	g constructed appeared of registered domestic partitler, and relatives (names a	and relationships):	
	h. Attorneys for persons listed in item g (names and persons represented):		
i	Public Guardian <i>(name):</i>		
j	Attorney for Public Guardian (name):		
THE	COURT FINDS		
2. a	Notice of time and place of hearing has been given as required by law		
	 Notice of time and place of hearing has been given as required by law. Notice of time and place of hearing has been modified or dispensed with under the control of time and place of hearing has been modified or dispensed with under the control of time. 	or Ordon on Ev Darts Av. II. II. C. O.	
	Cause Exception to Notice on Petition for Appointment of Temporary Conservator filed	on (data):	
_			
یا ،ر	It is necessary that a temporary conservator be appointed to X provide for ten X protect property from loss or injury	nporary care, maintenance, and support	
а	TEN		
b			
C			
	_		
	To prevent irreparable harm, the residence of the conservatee must be changed. No conservatee's liberty will prevent irreparable harm.	no means less restrictive of the	
		Page 1 o	f 2
rm Ado	opted for Mandatory Use ORDER APPOINTING TEMPORARY CONSERVICOUNCIL of California	ATOR Probate Code, §§ 2250-2:	25

GC-141 [New January 1, 2009] CEB* Essential

(Probate - Guardianships and Conservatorships)

Case 3:19-cv-02530-JD Document 74-1 Filed 08/25/20 Page 3 of 12

TEMPORARY CONSERVATORSHIP OF		GC-14
(Name): Nathaniel Foster Jr.		CASE NUMBER:
	CONSERVATEE	
 5. The conservatee must be removed from the essential to the conservatee's physical surv 6. The conservatee need not attend the hearing THE COURT ORDERS 7. a. X (Name): Nathaniel Foster 	ival. The conservatee consents to this n ng.	mance of nonpsychiatric medical treatment nedical treatment.
7. a. X (Name): Nathaniel Foster (Address): 547 Tananger Heights Lan		(Talanhana), 005, 354, 5350
(, leasess), 517 Tallanger hergins had	ne, Fleasant Hill, CA 94523	(Telephone): 925.354.5350
and Letters shall issue upon qualification b. X (Name): Nathaniel Foster		el Foster Jr.
(Address): 547 Tananger Heights Lar	ne, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523	(Telephone): 925.354.5350
is appointed temporary conservator of t	he ESTATE of (name): Nathanie	l Foster Jr.
and Letters shall issue upon qualification	on.	
8. a. X Bond is not required.b. Bond is fixed at: \$	to be furnished by an authorized suret law.	y company or as otherwise provided by
c. Deposits of: \$	are ordered to be placed in a blocked	account at (specify institution and location):
The temporary conservator is authorized to one of the temporary conservator is authorized to repermit the performance of nonpsychiatric media. The conservatee need not attend the hearing law, the law, the	emove the conservatee from the State of edical treatment essential to the conserv g. temporary conservator is granted other	of California to the following address to atee's physical survival (address):
3. X Other orders as specified in attachment 13 ar 4. X Unless modified by further order of the court, 5. Number of boxes checked in items 7-14: 8 6. Number of pages attached: 4	re granted.	
	SIGNATURE FOLLOWS LAST A	TTACHMENT

GC-141 [New January 1, 2009]

Temporary Conservator of Person and Estate Nathaniel Foster Jr.

Attachment 12

<u>Probate Code Section 2351(a)</u>: The temporary conservator is authorized to collect, redirect, and control conservatee's mail.

<u>Probate Code Section 2355:</u> The temporary conservator is authorized to have the exclusive authority to make health care decisions for the conservatee that the conservator in good faith based on medical advice determines to be necessary.

Attachment 13

Temporary Conservator is granted the following powers:

- 1. The Court authorizes Petitioner Nathaniel Foster to retain Jessica Juarez, Esq. of *Albert G Stoll Jr.*, *A Law Corporation*, to represent Conservatee in personal injury issues and to execute a retainer agreement with *Albert G Stoll Jr.*, *A Law Corporation*, to that effect;
- 2. The Court authorize the Conservator, to pay the Albert G Stoll Jr., A Law Corporation in accordance with the terms set forth in their attorney-client contingency agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A. To summarize paragraph two of Exhibit A, the Court authorize the Conservator to pay up to but not to exceed 33.33% of all sums recovered, 40% for all sums recovered if the case is settled after either a complaint is filed with the court or a demand for binding arbitration is made or 45% should the matter be set for trial for legal services rendered to the conservatorship with said payments subject to review by this court at the next accounting.

Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is the *Albert G Stoll Jr.*, *A Law Corporation* attorney-client agreement and firm profile.

Petitioner is granted authorization to retain *Albert G Stoll Jr.*, *A Law Corporation* to represent the proposed conservatee in matters related to these personal injury issues. Petitioner also is granted authority to pay *Albert G Stoll Jr.*, *A Law Corporation*, though any settlement agreement would be subject to Court approval. Payments will be paid upon Petitioner's receipt of invoices from *Albert G Stoll Jr.*, *A Law Corporation*. Said invoices will be submitted to the Court with the filing of the first account and report and subject to review by this Court.

This document is a correct concount of the original on file in this off.

ATTEST: MATURE 2 2019

Superior County of County of

EXHIBIT 2

- 1			
1	Albert G. Stoll, Jr. SBN 164649		
2	Walter Haynes, SBN 244776		
3	Jessica Juarez, SBN 269600 ALBERT G. STOLL, JR. A LAW CORPORATION		
	235 Montgomery Street, Ste 1220		
4	San Francisco, California 94133		
5	Phone: (415) 576-1500		
6	Fax: (415) 576-1501 E-mail: jessica@stoll-law.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster Jr., Nathaniel Foster,		
8	Pamela Foster and Natalie Foster		
	LINITED STATES DISPITICT COLID		
9	UNITED STATES DISRTICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	Case No.		
11	Case No.		
12	NATALIE FOSTER'S PETITION FOR		
13	APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM		
14			
15	T TYPE OF THE CONTROL		
16	I. INTRODUCTION		
17	This case arises from an incident in which Plaintiff NJ Foster, a young man and quadriplegic was		
18	receiving assistance from Defendant United Airlines, Inc. and Defendant ExpressJet Airlines, Inc. dba		
19	United Express in the deplaning process. Plaintiff NJ suffered catastrophic injury upon exiting the		
20	plane and has been in a coma since the date of the incident: February 8, 2019. Plaintiff NJ was		
	traveling with his family at the time of the incident: his father, Nathaniel Foster, mother, Pamela Foste		
21	and minor sister, Natalie Foster (age 16).		
22	Now before the court is Petitioner Natalie Foster's petition to appoint her mother, Pamela Foster as		
23	guardian ad litem. Petitioner is a minor of the age of 16 years and a Plaintiff in the above-entitled		
24	action filed in this court against Defendants United Airlines, Inc.; Express Jet Airlines, Inc. dba United		
25	Express; DAL Global Services, LLC and the City of Monroe, Louisiana, and DOES 1-50. Petitioner		
	has no previous petition for appointment of a guardian ad litem.		
26			
27			

Mother Pamela Foster is competent, willing and able to serve as her daughter's Guardian Ad Litem. *See* Decl. of Pamela Foster in Support of Petition for Appointment of Guardian Ad Litem. No actual or potential conflicts of interest exist. *Id*.

Nathaniel Foster, Natalie's father agrees that his wife, Pamela Foster should be appointed as guardian ad litem. *See* Decl. of Nathaniel Foster in Support of Petition for Appointment of Guardian Ad Litem.

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17 allows a general guardian to sue on behalf of a minor or incompetent person. Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)(1)(A). Courts "must appoint a guardian ad litem ... to protect a minor or incompetent person who is unrepresented in an action." Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)(2). "The decision to appoint a guardian ad litem under Rule 17(c) is normally left to the sound discretion of the trial court[.]" Davis v. Walker, 745 F.3d 1303, 1310 (9th Cir. 2014). "When there is no conflict of interest, the guardian ad litem appointment is usually made on ex parte application and involves minimal exercise of discretion by the trial court." Kulya v. City & Cty. of S.F., 2007 WL 760776, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2007)(citing In re Marriage of Caballero, 27 Cal. App. 4th 1139, 1149 (1994)).

An individual's capacity to sue is determined by the law of the individual's domicile. Id. 17(b). Under California law, an individual under the age of eighteen is a minor. Cal. Fam. Code § 6500. A minor may bring suit as long as a guardian conducts the proceedings and the court may appoint a guardian ad litem to protect the minor's interests in the litigation. Id. § 6601; Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 372(a); Williams v. Super. Ct., 147 Cal. App. 4th 36, 47 (2007).

The court "has broad discretion in ruling on a guardian ad litem application." Williams v. Super. Ct., 147 Cal. App. 4th 36, 47 (2007). In the case of parent representatives, "'[w]hen there is a potential conflict between a perceived parental responsibility and an obligation to assist the court in achieving a just and speedy determination of the action,' a court has the right to select a guardian ad litem who is not a parent if that guardian would best protect the child's interests." Id. at 49 (quoting M.S. v. Wermers, 557 F.2d 170, 175 (8th Cir. 1977)) Thus, "if the parent has an actual or potential conflict of interest with his [or her] child, the parent has no right to control or influence the child's litigation." Id. at 50. If, on the other hand, "a parent brings an action on behalf of a child, and it is evident that the interests of each are the same, no need exists for someone other than the parent to represent the child's interests under Rule 17(c)." Gonzalez v. Reno, 86 F. Supp. 2d 1167, 1185 (S.D. Fla. 2000), aff'd 212 F.3d 1338 (11th Cir. 2000); see also Bhatia v. Corrigan, No. C 07-2054 CW, 2007 WL 1455908, at *1 (N.D. Cal. May 16, 2007) (citing Gonzalez, 86 F. Supp. 2d at 1185). When there is no conflict of

interest, "the appointment is usually made on application only and involves little exercise of discretion." Williams, 147 Cal. App. 4th at 47 (quoting In re Marriage Caballero, 27 Cal. App. 4th 1139, 1149 (1994)) (internal quotations omitted). III. **CONCLUSION** Based on the foregoing, Petitioner petitions this court for an order appointing her mother Pamela Foster as her Guardian Ad Litem for the purposes of this action. Albert C. Stoll Jr., A Law Corp. Dated: May 10, 2019 By: Jessica Juarez Albert G. Stoll, Jr. Attorneys for Nathaniel Foster, Pamela Foster, Nathaniei Foster, Jr. (NJ) and Natalie Foster

- 1				
1	Albert G. Stoll, Jr. SBN 164649			
2	Walter Haynes, SBN 244776			
3	Jessica Juarez, SBN 269600 ALBERT G. STOLL, JR. A LAW CORPORATION			
	235 Montgomery Street, Ste 1220			
4	San Francisco, California 94133			
5	Phone: (415) 576-1500 Fax: (415) 576-1501			
6	E-mail: jessica@stoll-law.com			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster Jr., Nathaniel Foster,			
8	Pamela Foster and Natalie Foster			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
10 11	DECLARATION OF PAMELA FOSTER IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT			
12	OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM			
	I, Pamela Foster declare as follows:			
13				
14	1. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth below, and if called to testify about them, I			
15	could and would do so competently.			
16	2. I traveled with my family (husband, Nathaniel Foster, son NJ, daughter Natalie Foster) on February			
17	8, 2019 when my son, Nathaniel Foster, Jr. "NJ" suffered a catastrophic injury upon deplaning			
18	United Airlines Flight No. 4193 in Monroe, Louisiana.			
19	3. My son continues to be in a coma on the date this petition was filed.			
20	4. My family and I have brought claims to recover damages for injuries stemming from the incident			
21	of February 8, 2019.			
22	5. I am the mother and legal guardian of Natalie Foster.			
23	6. My daughter, Natalie Foster is a minor child, age 16 with a birthdate of July 18, 2002.			
24	7. There is no actual or potential conflict of interest between myself and my daughter's claims in this			
25	action.			
26	8. I am competent to act as my daughter's Guardian ad Litem and will at all times act only in the best			
27	interests of my daughter.			
28				
-U				

I declare under penalty of perjury under the federal laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 5/10/19

Pamela Foster

Plaintiff and Mother to Petitioner Natalie Foster

Albert G. Stoll, Jr. SBN 164649
Walter Haynes, SBN 244776
Jessica Juarez, SBN 269600
ALBERT G. STOLL, JR. | A LAW CORPORATION
235 Montgomery Street, Ste 1220
San Francisco, California 94133
Phone: (415) 576-1500
Fax: (415) 576-1501
E-mail: jessica@stoll-law.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nathaniel Foster Jr., Nathaniel Foster,
Pamela Foster and Natalie Foster

NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA

DECLARATION OF PAMELA FOSTER IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM

I, Nathaniel Foster declare as follows:

- I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth below, and if called to testify about them, I
 could and would do so competently.
- 2) I traveled with my family (wife, Pamela Foster, son NJ, and daughter Natalie Foster) on February 8, 2019 when my son, Nathaniel Foster, Jr. "NJ" suffered a catastrophic injury upon deplaning United Airlines Flight No. 4193 in Monroe, Louisiana.
- 3) My son continues to be in a coma on the date this petition was filed.
- 4) My family and I have brought claims to recover damages for injuries stemming from the incident of February 8, 2019.
- I agree that my wife, Pamela Foster should serve as our daughter, Natalie Foster's Guardian ad Litem in this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the federal laws of the United States that the foregoing is

true and correct.

Date: 5/1º/19

Nathaniel Foster

Plaintiff and Father to Petitioner Natalie Foster

1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4			
5	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING		
6	PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIAN AD LITEM		
7			
8	The Court, having considered the petition of Natalie Foster for appointment of		
9	a guardian ad litem in the above action, and good cause appearing, hereby orders that Pamela Foster be		
10	appointed guardian ad litem for minor, Natalie Foster in this action.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED		
13	Dated:		
14	United States Magistrate Judge		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			